



# भारत का राजपत्र

## The Gazette of India

प्रधिकार से प्रकाशित

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

१० ५२] नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, विसम्बर २५, १९६५/पौष ४, १८८७

No. ५२] NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, DECEMBER 25, 1965/PAUSA 4, 1887

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या की जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके।

Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed  
as a separate compilation

### नोटिस

### NOTICE

नीचे लिखे भारत के असाधारण राजपत्र १३ दिसम्बर, १९६५ तक प्रकाशित किए गए।

The aforementioned Gazzettes of India Extraordinary were published upto the 13th December, 1965:—

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
317	S.O. 3851, dated 9th December, 1965.	Ministry of Commerce	Appointing a body of persons for making a complete investigation into the fall of production in respect of cotton textiles manufactured in M/s. New Bhopal Textiles Mills Ltd., Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh).
	S.O. 3852, dated 9th December, 1965.	Do.	Appointing a body of persons for making a complete investigation into the fall of production in respect of cotton textiles manufactured in the Ahmedabad Laxmi Cotton Mills Ltd., Ahmedabad (Gujarat State).

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
	S.O. 3853, dated 9th December, 1965.	Ministry of Commerce	Appointing a body of persons for making a complete investigation into the fall of production in respect of cotton textiles manufactured in the M/s. Swadeshi Cotton & Flour Mills Ltd. Indore (Madhya Pradesh).
318	S.O. 3854, dated 11th December, 1965.	Ministry of Home Affairs	Delegation of powers under rule 46(1) of the Defence of India Rules, 1962 to the Chief Press Adviser.
319	S.O. 3855, dated 13th December, 1965.	Ministry of Finance	Delegation of powers under rule 126 U of the Defence of India Rules, 1962 exempting every person transporting gold from the provisions of Part XII-A of the said rules.

ऊपर लिखे असाधारण गजटों की प्रतियां प्रकाशन प्रबन्धक, सिविल लाइन्स, दिल्ली के नाम सांगपत्र भेजने पर भेज दी जाएंगी। सांगपत्र प्रबन्धक के पास इन राजपत्रों के जारी होने की तारीख से 10 दिन के भीतर पहुंच जाने चाहिए।

Copies of the Gazettes Extraordinary mentioned above will be supplied on indent to the Manager of Publications, Civil Lines, Delhi. Indents should be submitted so as to reach the Manager within ten days of the date of issue of these Gazettes.

## भाग II—संख 3—उपसंख (ii)

### PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

(राजा मंचालप को छोड़कर) भारत सरकार के मंचालपों और (संघ सौन्दर्य प्रशासन को छोड़कर) राज्यपाल प्रापिकरणों द्वारा जारी किए गए चिपिक आपैरा और अधिसूचनाएँ।

Statutory orders and notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence) and by Central Authorities (other than the Administration of Union Territories).

### MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS

New Delhi, the 15th December 1965

S.O.3923.—In exercise of the powers conferred under entry 3(c) of Schedule I annexed to the Ministry of Home Affairs Notification No. 15/13/59(V)-P. IV, dated the 13th July, 1962 [GSR 991, published in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, sub-section (ii), dated the 28th July, 1962], the Central Government is pleased to specify Rajmata Anand Kumari step-mother of the Ruler of Ransan, for the purpose of that entry and directs that the exemption shall be valid in respect of one .12 bore gun, one rifle and one pistol/revolver.

[No. 16/5/65-P. IV.]

S.O. 3924.—In exercise of the powers conferred by entry 3(c) of Schedule I annexed to the Ministry of Home Affairs Notification No. 15/13/59-P. IV, dated the 13th July, 1962, [GSR No. 991, published in the Gazette of India, Part II,

Section 3, sub-section (ii), dated the 28th July, 1962], the Central Government is pleased to specify Shri Rajendrasingji son of the Ruler of Wao for the purpose that entry, and directs that the exemption shall be valid in respect of one .12 bore gun, one rifle and one pistol or revolved only.

[No. 16/14/65-P. IV.]

S.O. 3925.—In exercise of the powers conferred under entry 3(c) of Schedule I annexed to the Ministry of Home Affairs Notification No. 15/13/59-(V)-P. IV, dated the 13th July, 1962 [GSR 991, published in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, sub-section (ii), dated the 28th July, 1962], the Central Government is pleased to specify Shri Pratap Chandra Deb, son of the Ruler of Talcher, for the purpose of that entry, and directs that the exemption shall be valid in respect of one 12 bore gun, one rifle and one pistol or revolver only.

[No. 16/14/64-P. IV.]

M. SIVAGNANAM, Dy. Secy.

### गृह-मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 15 दिसम्बर, 1965

एस० ओ० 3926—गृह मंत्रालय का दिनांक 13 जुलाई, 1962 की अधिसूचना संख्या 15/13/59—(पी० 4 (जी० एस० आर० 991 भारत के राजपत्र के भाग 2 खण्ड 3 उपखण्ड 2 में 28 जुलाई, 1962 को प्रकाशित) की अनुसूची एक की प्रविधि 3 (ग) द्वारा प्रदत्त अधिकारों का उपयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उपरोक्त प्रविधि को निर्दिष्ट करते हुए उनसन के शासक की विमाता राजमाता आनन्दमुमारी को सिर्फ एक 12 नसी बन्दूक, एक राईफल, एक रिवालवर/पिस्तौल रखने की छूट सहृदय देती है।

[सं० 16/5/65-पुलिस-4]

एस० ओ० 3927—गृह मंत्रालय की दिनांक 13 जुलाई 1962 की अधिसूचना संख्या 15/13/59—पी० 4 (जी० एस० आर० 991 भारत के राजपत्र के भाग 2 खण्ड 3 उपखण्ड 2 में 28 जुलाई, 1962 को प्रकाशित) की अनुसूची एक की प्रविधि 3 (ग) द्वारा प्रदत्त अधिकारों का उपयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उपरोक्त प्रविधि को निर्दिष्ट करते हुए तलचर के शासक के पुत्र श्री प्रताप खन्द देव को सिर्फ एक 12 नसी बन्दूक, एक राईफल, एक/रिवालवर, पिस्तौल रखने की छूट सहृदय देती है।

[सं० 16/14/65-पी०-4]

एम० शिवाजानम्,  
उप सचिव।

नई दिल्ली, 15 दिसम्बर 1965

एस० ओ० 3928—गृह मंत्रालय की दिनांक 13 जुलाई, 1962 की अधिसूचना संख्या 15/13/59—पी० 4 (जी० एस० आर० 991 भारत के राजपत्र के भाग 2 खण्ड 3 उपखण्ड 2 में 28 जुलाई, 1962 को प्रकाशित) की अनुसूची एक की प्रविधि 3 (ग) द्वारा प्रदत्त अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उपरोक्त प्रविधि के लिये वाथो के शासक के पुत्र श्री राजेंद्र सिंह जी को सिर्फ एक 12 नसी बन्दूक, एक राईफल, एक रिवालवर/पिस्तौल रखने की छूट सहृदय देती है।

[सं० 16/14/65-पी०-4]

जी० एल० बैलूर,  
अवर सचिव, भारत सरकार।

*New Delhi, the 16th December 1965*

S.O. 3929.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3 of the Commissions of Inquiry Act, 1952 (60 of 1952), the Central Government hereby further extends upto the 31st March, 1966, the period within which the Commission of Inquiry appointed by the Government of India in the Ministry of Home Affairs, by notification No. S.O. 992, dated 23rd March, 1965, shall complete the Inquiry into the matter specified in the said notification and report to the Central Government.

[No. F.25./50/64-Pol(1).]

ASOKA SEN, Jt. Secy.

**MINISTRY OF FINANCE**  
**(Department of Economic Affairs)**  
 New Delhi, the 18th December 1965

**S.O. 3930.—Statement of the Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India, as on the 10th December, 1965.**

**BANKING DEPARTMENT**

<b>LIABILITIES</b>	<b>Rs.</b>	<b>ASSETS</b>	<b>Rs.</b>
Capital Paid up . . . . .	5,00,00,000	Notes . . . . .	10,48,28,000
		Rupee Coin . . . . .	7,07,000
Reserve Fund . . . . .	80,00,00,000	Small Coin . . . . .	2,89,000
		Bills Purchased and Discounted :—	
National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund . . . . .	103,00,00,000	(a) Internal . . . . .	
		(b) External . . . . .	
		(c) Government Treasury Bills . . . . .	131,06,09,000
National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund . . . . .	10,00,00,000	Balances Held Abroad* Investments** . . . . .	10,71,16,000
			148,91,78,000
		Loans and Advances to :—	
National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund . . . . .	15,00,00,000	(i) Central Government . . . . .	
		(ii) State Governments @ . . . . .	162,80,00,000
Deposits:—		Loans and Advances to :—	
		(i) Scheduled Banks† . . . . .	21,65,60,000
(a) Government		(ii) State Co-operative Banks†† . . . . .	168,82,94,000
		(iii) Others . . . . .	1,35,29,000
(i) Central Government . . . . .	113,53,90,000		
(ii) State Governments . . . . .	2,21,22,000		

LIABILITIES	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.
		Loans, Advances and Investments from National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	
(b) Banks		(a) Loans and Advances to :—	
(i) Scheduled Banks	95,55,29,000	(i) State Governments	29,82,19,000
(ii) State Co-operative Banks	2,62,56,000	(ii) State Co-operative Banks	12,97,85,000
(iii) Other Banks	1,86,000	(iii) Central Land Mortgage Banks	..
		(b) Investment in Central Land Mortgage Bank Debentures	5,47,77,000
		Loans and Advances from National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund	
(c) Others	243,98,90,000	Loans and Advances to State Co-operative Banks	
Bills Payable	23,82,51,000	Loans, Advances and Investments from National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	
		(a) Loans and Advances to the Development Bank	2,88,27,000
Other Liabilities	54,23,25,000	(b) Investment in bonds/debentures issued by the Development Bank	..
Rupees	745,99,49,000	Other Assets	38,92,31,000
		Rupees	745,99,49,000

\*Includes Cash and Short-term Securities.

\*\*Excluding Investments from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund.

③Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund, but including temporary overdrafts to State Governments.

†Includes Rs. 5,38,00,000 advanced to scheduled banks against usance bills under Section 17(4) (c) of the R. B. I. Act.

††Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund.

Dated the 15th day of December, 1965.

Account pursuant to the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934, for the week ended the 10th day of December, 1965.

ISSUE DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.
Notes held in the Banking Department.	10,48,28,000	Gold Coin and Bullion :—	
Notes in circulation	2726,33,33,000	(a) Held in India	133,75,66,000
Total Notes issued	2736,81,61,000	(b) Held outside India	..
		Foreign Securities	75,05,24,000
		TOTAL	208,80,90,000
		Rupee Coin	99,96,47,000
		Government of India Rupee Securities	2428,04,24,000
		Internal Bills of Exchange and other commercial paper	..
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES</b>	<b>2736,81,61,000</b>	<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>2736,81,61,000</b>

Dated the 15th day of December, 1965.

P. C. BHATTACHARYYA,  
Governor.

[No. P.3(2)-BC/65.]

R. K. SESHADRI,  
Director (Banking)

## (Department of Company Affairs &amp; Insurance)

New Delhi, the 13th December 1965

**S.O. 3931.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 39 of the Life Insurance Corporation Act, 1956 (31 of 1956) the Central Government hereby publishes the following certificate granted to the Bangalore Provident Insurance Corporation Limited, Bangalore, an insurer whose controlled business has been transferred to and vested in the Life Insurance Corporation of India under the provisions of the said Act.

*Certificate*

Whereas the Bangalore Provident Insurance Corporation Limited, Bangalore is an insurer whose controlled business has been transferred to and vested in the Life Insurance Corporation of India under the provisions of the Life Insurance Corporation Act, 1956 (31 of 1956);

And whereas the said insurer has collected and distributed compensation money paid to it by the Corporation under the provisions of the said Act;

And whereas the said insurer has complied with all directions given to it by the said Corporation for the purpose of securing that the ownership of any property or any right is effectively transferred to the Corporation;

And whereas the said insurer has made an application to the Central Government that there is no reason for the continued existence of the insurer;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 39 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby grants to the said insurer the certificate that there is no reason for the continued existence of the insurer.

[No. 3(1)-INS(II)/62.]

S. S. SHARMA, Under Secy.

## (Department of Revenue)

## ORDER

## STAMPS

New Delhi, the 25th December 1965

**S.O. 3932.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899), the Central Government hereby remits the duty with which the bonds of the value of sixty seven lakhs of rupees proposed to be issued by the Mysore State Financial Corporation are chargeable under the said Act.

[No. 24/65 F. No. 1/80/65-Cus. VII/Stamps.]

## ERRATA

New Delhi, the 25th December 1965

**S.O. 3933.**—In the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue) No. S.O. 3183 published in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii), dated the 16th October, 1965, at page 3383—

(a) in the third line, for "19th", read "16th";

(b) in the seventh line, for "1965", read "1959".

[No. F. 3/15/65-Cus. VII.]

M. G. VAIDYA, Under Secy.

## OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR OF CENTRAL EXCISE MADHYA PRADESH AND VIDARBHA

## CENTRAL EXCISE

Nagpur, the 10th December 1965

**S.O. 3934.**—The following entry shall be added in this Collectorate Notification No. 4/62 dated the 31st August, 1962.

S. No.	Nature of Refund.	Rule.	Monetary Limit.
4.	Refund of excess licence fee paid.		Full.

[No. 3/1965.]

TILAK RAJ, Collector.

## COLLECTORATE OF CENTRAL EXCISE, PATNA

## TRADE NOTICE

Patna, the 3rd December 1965

**SUBJECT.**—Central Excise—Movement of excisable material/component parts for use in the manufacture of finished excisable products—Procedure for realisation of duty.

**S.O. 3935.**—In the office Trade Notice No. 17/5-MP/63, dated 18th February, 1963 on the above subject for item number 14, the following shall be substituted, namely:—

“14. Iron or Steel Products other than Pipes & Tubes.

2. The amendment has been notified *vide* Ministry of Finance, Revenue Division Notification Central Excises No. 186/65, dated 27th November, 1965.

[No. 38/16/M.P./65.]

B. S. CHAWLA, Collector,

OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR OF CUSTOMS AND CENTRAL EXCISE,  
SHILLONG

## CORRIGENDUM

Shillong, the 16th December 1965

**SUBJECT.**—Central Excise—Refund of excess licence fee—Grant of Delegation of powers to the Superintendent of Central Excise.

**S.O. 3936.**—After Sl. No. 3 of this Collector Notification, Central Excise, dated 22nd September, 1962, circulated under C. No. V-a/24/53/62, dated 27th September, 1962, the following entry shall be made.

1

2

3

4

Refund of excess  
licence fee paid.

Full”

[No. C. VI-K/12/4/64.]

G. S. SAWHNEY, Collector.

## MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY AND SUPPLY

(Department of Industry)

(Indian Standards Institution)

New Delhi, the 10th December 1965

**S.O. 3937.**—In partial modification of the then Ministry of Industry (Indian Standard Institution) notification published in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3(ii) dated 28 March 1964 under S.O. 1102 dated 18th March 1964, this Institution hereby notifies that dimensions specified for sluice valves for water works purposes in IS 780-1966 shall continue to remain in force concurrently with those specified in IS: 780-1963 till 31st December 1966.

[No. MD/13:2.]

New Delhi, the 14th December 1965

**S.O. 3938.**—In pursuance of sub-regulations (2) and (3) of regulation 3 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955 as amended in 1961, 1962 and 1964 the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the Indian Standard(s) particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed have been established during the period 16 to 30 November 1965.

## THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No. and Title of the Indian Standard Established	No. and Title of the Indian Standard or Standards if any superseded by the new Indian Standard	Brief Particulars	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1 IS: 779-1965 Specification for water meters (domestic type) (second revision)	*IS: 779-1961 Specification for water meters (domestic type) (revised)	This standard covers inferential (horizontal-flow) and semi-positive types of water meters with threaded end connections and of nominal sizes up to and including 50 mm. The specification applies to both wet-dial and dry-dial meters. (Price Rs. 4.00).	
2 IS: 1322-1965 Specification for bitumen felts for waterproofing and damp-proofing (revised)	*IS: 1322-1959 Specification for bitumen felts for waterproofing and damp-proofing.	This standard covers the requirements for saturated bitumen felt (underlay) and self-finished bitumen felts used for waterproofing and damp-proofing (Price Rs. 3.00).	
3 IS: 1384-1964 Specification for oil pressure lanterns (revised)	IS: 1384-1959 Specification for oil pressure lanterns.	This standard covers the requirements for three sizes of oil pressure lanterns burning pressurized kerosine under a working pressure not exceeding 2 kgf/cm <sup>2</sup> . (Price Rs. 4.50).	
4 IS: 2363-1965 Glossary of terms relating to wire ropes	..	This standard covers definitions of terms relating to wire ropes and accessories. (Price Rs. 6.00).	
5 IS: 3035 (Part I)-1965 Specification for thermoplastic insulated weather-proof cables Part I PVC insulated and PVC sheathed	..	This standard covers the requirements for polyvinyl chloride insulated and sheathed single-core and flat twin-core weatherproof cables for outdoor house service connections of voltage grades 250/440 volts and 650/1100 volts. (Price Rs. 3.50).	
6 IS: 3035 (Part II)-1965 Specification for thermoplastic insulated weather-proof cables Part II Polythene insulated taped, braided and compounded.	..	This standard covers the requirements of single and flat twin core polythene insulated taped braided and weather-proof compounded cables for outdoor service connections of voltage grades 250/440 and 650/1100 volts. (Price Rs. 3.50).	

\*NOTE—With a view to facilitate gradual change over by manufacturers, IS:779-1961 and IS:1322-1959 shall also continue to remain in force concurrently with IS: 779-1965 and IS: 1322-1965 till 30 June 1966.

Copies of these Indian Standards are available, for sale, with the Indian Standards Institution, Manak Bhavan, 9 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-1 and also its branch offices at (i) Bombay Mutual Terrace, First Floor, 534 Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel Road, Bombay-7 (ii) Third and Fourth Floors, 5 Chowringhee Approach, Calcutta-13, (iii) Second Floor, Sathyamurthi Bhavan, 54 General Patters Road, Madras-2 and (iv) 14/69 Civil Lines, Kanpur.

[No. MD/13:2]

D. V. KARMARKAR,  
Joint Director (Marks)

## MINISTRY OF COMMERCE

New Delhi, the 18th December 1965

**S.O. 3939.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (i) of sub-section (3) of section 4 of the Central Silk Board Act, 1948 (61 of 1948), the Central Government hereby nominates Shri D. L. Purkayastha, Secretary to the Government of Orissa, Industries Department Bhubaneswar, as a member of the Central Silk Board in place of Shri K. S. Ramchandran and directs that the following further amendment shall be made in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Industry No. S.O. 1313, dated the 9th April, 1964, namely:—

In the said notification—

Against serial number 18A for the entry "Shri K. S. Ramchandran, Secretary to the Government of Orissa, Industries Department, Bhubaneswar", the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

"Shri D. L. Purkayastha, Secretary to the Government of Orissa, Industries Department, Bhubaneswar".

[No. F. 22/2/64-Tex(G).]  
B. K. VARMA, Under Secy.

## MINISTRY OF HEALTH

## ORDER

New Delhi, the 13th December 1965

**S.O. 3940.**—In pursuance of sub-rule (1) of rule 10 of the Central Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1965, and all other powers hereunto enabling, the President hereby empowers,—

- (a) the Director General of Health Services;
- (b) the Additional Director General of Health Services;
- (c) the Chief Commissioner of the Union Territory of Delhi,

to place under suspension, in the circumstances mentioned in clause (a) or clause (b) of the said sub-rule, any member of the Central Health Service serving in an organisation subject to his administrative control.

[No. F. 16-3/65-CHS.]  
B. MUKERJI, Secy.

## MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT

(Department of Transport)

## ORDER

New Delhi, the 2nd December 1965

**S.O. 3941.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 18G of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951 (65 of 1951), the Central Government hereby makes the following Order further to amend the Imported Tourist Cars (Control) Order, 1961, namely:—

1. This order may be called the Imported Tourist Cars (Control) Amendment Order, 1965.

2. In the Imported Tourist Cars (Control Order, 1961, after clause 5, the following clause shall be inserted, namely:—

"5A. Additional restrictions on resale in the case of certain imported tourist cars—(1) Where an imported tourist car is allotted after the commencement of the Imported Tourist Cars (Control) Amendment Order, 1965, the allottee shall not sell or offer to sell, or enter into any other transaction involving the transfer or possession of the car,—

- (a) to or with any person or agency other than a person or agency approved in writing by the Controller;
- (b) at a price or consideration exceeding that fixed by the Controller in this behalf.

(2) In approving any person or agency under Sub-Clause (1), the Controller shall have regard to the circumstances relating to the proposed transaction and the purpose of this Order and in fixing the price of a car under that sub-clause, the Controller shall have regard to the price of the car at the time of allotment under clause 3, the wear and tear and the consequent depreciation in value of the car subsequent to such allotment and the actual condition of the car and such other circumstances as may appear to be relevant.

(3) Any person aggrieved by the price fixed by the Controller under sub-clause (1) may appeal to the Central Government and the decision of the Central Government on such appeal shall be final.

(4) The provisions of this clause are in addition to, and not in derogation of, the provisions of clauses 4 and 5."

[No. 4-TTIII(31)/60-TTIV/61.]

JASWANT SINGH, Under Secy.

### MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION

*New Delhi, the 16th December 1965*

**S.O. 3942.**—The services of Shri A. S. Bam, I.C.S., have been placed on foreign service with Indian Airlines Corporation with effect from the afternoon of the 13th December, 1965 and until further orders.

[No. 3-CA(15)/65 Pt.I.]

**S.O. 3943.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 4 of the Air Corporations Act, 1953 (27 of 1953), the Central Government hereby appoints, with immediate effect, Shri A. S. Bam, General Manager, Indian Airlines, as a Member of the Indian Airlines Corporation, *vice* Shri J. S. Parakh, resigned.

[No. 3-CA(15)/65 Pt.II.]

J. N. GOYAL, Jt. Secy.

### MINISTRY OF WORKS AND HOUSING

*New Delhi, the 15th December 1965*

**S.O. 3944.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3 of the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Act, 1958 (32 of 1958), the Central Government hereby appoints the Administrative Officer, Atomic Energy Establishment, Trombay, Bombay, being a gazetted officer of the Government, to be the Estate Officer for the purposes of the said Act in respect of the premises purchased or rented in Bombay for office or residence under the control of the Atomic Energy Establishment, Trombay, Bombay.

[No. 32/18/65-Acc. II.]

*New Delhi, the 16th December 1965*

**S.O. 3945.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 30 of the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Act, 1958 (32 of 1958), and in supersession of the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Works, Housing and Supply No. S.O. 2163, dated the 23rd August, 1960, the Central Government hereby makes the following amendment to the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Works, Housing and Supply No. S.O. 307, dated the 28th January, 1959, namely:—

In the Table appended to the said notification, for Serial No. 4 and the entries relating thereto the following shall be substituted, namely:—

" 4. Director of Estates, Additional Director of Estates, Deputy Directors of Estates and Assistant Director of Estates (Litigation), Government of India, New Delhi.

Premises belonging to, or taken on lease or requisitioned by, or on behalf of, the Central Government within the Union territory of Delhi and within Simla (except such of them as are under the administrative control of other estate officers or as are in the Defence Pool".

[No. F.32/11/65-Acc.-II.]

*New Delhi, the 18th December 1965*

**S.O. 3946.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3 of the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Act, 1958 (32 of 1958), the Central Government hereby makes the following amendment to the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Works and Housing published under No. S.O. 3503 on the 3rd October, 1964 namely:—

In the table below the said notification, for the entry in column 1 the following shall be substituted, namely:—

“The Director, Social Welfare and Rehabilitation Directorate, Department of Social Security, Government of India, New Delhi.”

[No. F. 32/20/64-Acc.II.]

**S.O. 3497.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Act, 1958 (32 of 1958), the Central Government hereby appoints the Collector, Ahmedabad, being a gazetted officer of Government, to be ‘Estate Officer’ for the purposes of the said Act, who shall exercise the powers conferred, and perform the duties imposed, on Estate Officer by or under the said Act within the local limits of his jurisdiction in respect of the public premises No. 10, Shreyas Society, Vasna, Ahmedabad, which have been requisitioned by the Government.

[No. F. 32/19/65-Acc. II.]

B. M. LAL, Under Secy.

### MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING

*New Delhi, the 10th December 1965*

**S.O. 3948.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5(1) of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 and sub-rule (3) of rule 9 read with sub-rule (2) of rule 9 and sub-rule (3) of rule 8 of the Cinematograph (Censorship) Rules, 1958, the Central Government hereby re-appoints Shri S. Radhakrishna after consultation with the Central Board of Film Censors, as a member of the Advisory Panel of the said Board at Madras with immediate effect.

[No. 11/3/65-FC.]

D. R. KHANNA, Under Secy.

### MINISTRY OF FOOD AND AGRICULTURE

(Department of Agriculture)

#### CORRIGENDUM

*New Delhi, the 17th December 1965*

**S.O. 3949.**—In the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Department of Agriculture) No. S.O. 655, dated the 16th March, 1963, published on pages 777-778 of the Gazette of India, Part II, section 3, sub-section (ii), dated the 16th March 1963, for the entries in the table below clause (f) of sub-rule (2) of rule 2, read

“5. Crush. No. 2	C. II	25%	30%	10%	Shall be made from whole nuts J. II of Schedule I.”
------------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	-----------------------------------------------------

[No. F. 15-25/65-AM.]

SANTOKH SINGH, Under Secy.

(Department of Agriculture)

(Indian Council of Agricultural Research)

*New Delhi, the 13th December 1965*

**S.O. 3950.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 4 of the Indian Coconut Committee Act, 1944 (10 of 1944), read with rule 4 of the Indian Central Coconut Committee Rules, 1954, the Central Government hereby notifies that the persons

mentioned in column (1) of the schedule hereto annexed have been nominated by the Government or the Body, as the case may be, mentioned in the corresponding entry in Column (3) thereof as members of the Indian Central Coconut Committee constituted under that act.

2. It is further notified that the persons aforesaid shall hold office as such members upto the 31st March, 1966 or until the finalisation of the re-organisation proposal of the Commodity Committee whichever is earlier.

#### THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Name and address of the persons	Clause of section 4 under which nomination has been made	Nominating Govt. or Body.
1	2	3	4
1	Shri K.P. Madhavan Nair, "Glen Brook", Octacamund, Nilgiris.	(c)	Government of Kerala.
2	Shri R. Srinivasa Iyer, Pattok- kottai P.O. Tanjore Dis- trict.	(b)	Government of Madras.
3	Director of Agri. Madras	(d)	Do.
4	Dr. H.K. Nandi, Director of Agriculture West Bengal, Calcutta.	(d)	Government of West Bengal.
5	Sh. P.S. Mazumdar, Director of Agriculture, Assam, Shil- long.	(d)	Government of Assam.
6	Dr. N.C.B. Nath Hindustan Lever Ltd., Ballard Estate, Bombay-I.	(c)	Bombay Chamber of Commerce and Industry.
7	Sh. V.J. Joseph, Managing Partner, M/s. Pothen Joseph and Sons, Alleppey.	(e)	Travancore Chamber of Commerce.
8	Director of Agriculture Maha- rashtra.	(d)	Govt. of Maharashtra.
9	Sh. H.G. Patil, Bordi, Distt. Thanna.	(b)	Do.
10	Agri. Commissioner with the Govt. of India.	(f)	Central Govt.
11	Joint Secy. to the Govt. of India, Min. of Finance (Agri. Div.)	(f)	Do.
12	Sh. Sasanka Sekhar Manna, M.A. Headmaster, Multi Higher Secondary School, Village Multi, P.O. Dhamna, Distt. 24 Parganas.	(b)	Govt. of West Bengal.
13	Director of Agri. Orissa	(d)	Govt. of Orissa.
14	Director of Horticulture Ban- galore.	(d)	Govt. of Mysore.

## DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SECURITY

New Delhi, the 17th December 1965

**S.O. 3951.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment No. 6(106)/63-HI, dated the 30th August, 1963, namely:—

In the said notification, item No. 2 relating to Primary-cum-secondary sub-station PS2/SS8, Carnac Bunder, shall be omitted.

[No. F. 6(106)/63-HI.]

**S.O. 3952.**—In pursuance of section 8 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby nominates Shri F. H. Vallibhoy, Joint Secretary to the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance, to be a member of the Standing Committee of the Employees' State Insurance Corporation, in place of Shri K. N. Channa and makes the following amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Department of Social Security No. S.O. 435 dated the 30th January, 1965, namely:—

In the said notification, under the heading "Members" under the sub-heading "(Nominated by the Central Government under clause (b) of section 8)" in item 3, for the entry "Shri K. N. Channa" the entry "Shri F. H. Vallibhoy" shall be substituted.

[No. F. 1(68)/65-HI-II.]

**S.O. 3953.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 13 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Binode Bihari Murmu to be an Inspector, for the whole of the State of West Bengal and the Union territories of Tripura and the Andaman and Nicobar Islands, for the purposes of the said Act and of the Schemes framed thereunder, in relation to establishments belonging to, or under the control of the Central Government, and in relation to establishments connected with a railway company, a major port, a mine or an oil-field or a controlled industry.

[No. 20(71)/64-PF-I.]

DALJIT SINGH, Under Secy.

## MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT

New Delhi, the 14th December 1965

**S.O. 3954.**—The following draft of a Notification, which the Central Government proposes to make in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 26 of the Minimum Wages Act, 1948 (11 of 1948) is hereby published for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after 20th January 1966. Any objections or suggestions which may be received from any person in respect of the said draft before the date so specified will be considered by the Central Government.

## Draft Notification

"Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that having regard to the terms and conditions of service applicable to the employees in the Central Mechanised Farm, Suratgarh, it is not necessary to fix minimum wages in respect of such employees who are in receipt of wages in the time scales of pay approved by the Central Government, and are governed by the provisions of Fundamental Rules and Supplementary Rules.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 26 of the Minimum Wages Act, 1948 (11 of 1948) the Central Government hereby directs that for a period of five years from the date of publication of this notification, all the provisions of the Minimum Wages Act, 1948 (11 of 1948) shall not apply in relation to the aforesaid employees in the Central Mechanised Farm, Suratgarh."

[No. LWI-I-8(3)/65.]

O. P. TALWAR, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 15th December 1965.

**S.O. 3955.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 8 of the Coal Mines Labour Welfare Fund Act, 1947 (32 of 1947), read with rule 3 of the Coal Mines Labour Welfare Fund Rules, 1949, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri P. P. Nayyar, a person nominated to represent Government collieries, as a member of the Advisory Committee vice Shri B. L. Wadehra resigned, and makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment No. S.O. 4380, dated the 23rd December, 1964 namely:—

In the said notification, for the entries relating to serial No. 9, the following entries shall be substituted, namely:—

“Shri P. P. Nayyar.—Nominated to represent Government collieries.”

[No. 3/19/65-M-II.]

B. K. SAKSENA, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 15th December 1965

**S.O. 3956.**—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Chandigarh in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Indian Overseas Bank Limited and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 30th November, 1965.

BEFORE SHRI K. L. GOSAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, CHANDIGARH

REFERENCE No. 3/C of 1965

In the matter of industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Indian Overseas Bank Limited Amritsar and the Indian Overseas Bank, Limited Employees Union (Regd. Punjab, Amritsar Unit.)

PRESENT:

Shri Tek Chand Sharma for the workmen.

Shri B. M. Sehgal for the management.

#### AWARD

The Indian Overseas Bank Employees Union (Regd. Punjab, Amritsar Unit) served a notice of demand on the management of the said Bank that Shri Amar Singh, Messenger working at their Amritsar Branch was entitled to receive from the Bank a cash allowance at the rate of Rs. 5/- per month and that the Bank should pay the said sum to him. This demand was not met by the Management and on failure of the conciliation proceedings with regard to the same the parties agreed that the said dispute might be referred to an industrial tribunal for adjudication. In pursuance of the said agreement the Government of India passed the requisite order under Section 7-A and Sub-Section (2) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 and referred the case to this Tribunal.

In response to the notices issued to the parties the workmen filed their statement of claim and the management filed their written statement to the same. In a statement before issues Shri B. M. Sehgal, Agent of the Bank, who was representing the said Bank stated before me to the following effects:

“I admit that one of the duties of Shri Amar Singh is also to collect cash. I also admit that he did collect cash on the various dates mentioned by the Union in the Annexure in their statement of claim which has now been marked Ext. X.”

The only issue which arose from the pleadings of the parties was the same as the item of dispute, which is as follows:—

“Whether having regard to the nature of duties performed by him, Mr. Amar Singh (Messenger) employed in the Indian Overseas Bank Limited, Amritsar, is entitled to payment of cash allowance at the rate of Rs. 5/- per mensem as provided under Para 5-296 of the Award of the National Industrial Tribunal (Bank Dispute) popularly known as the Desai Award and if so, from what date?”

I directed the parties to produce their evidence in respect of the said Issue. The representatives of the parties then stated that they did not wish to lead any evidence and wished merely to argue the case before me. During the course of arguments Mr. B. M. Sehgal, Agent of the Bank urged that while making his statement before the Issues he did not mean to say that one of the duties of the workmen concerned was to collect cash and all that he meant to say was that he actually collected cash on the various dates mentioned in Ext. X. The representatives of both the parties then prayed for an opportunity of leading evidence in respect of the Issue framed by me and I allowed the same to be done. The workman concerned appeared as a witness as A.W. 1, and stated that he accompanied the Cashier when cash was taken for deposit in the State Bank of India or when it was brought from there. He further stated that he took the insured Dak also to the Post Office and that it was his duty to go for purchasing stamps etc. He further stated that it is one of his duties to take the clearing cheques to the State Bank of India and if there are certain cheques of non-clearing Banks he has to go to encash the same. The Bank has not produced any evidence in rebuttal. From the evidence it is quite clear to me that Shri Amar Singh performs all the duties which are ordinarily performed by the head cash Mazdoor envisaged by paragraph 5.296 of the National Industrial Tribunal (Bank Disputes) Award popularly known as the Desai Award. As pointed out in paragraph 5.232 of the said Award and as held by their Lordships of the Supreme Court in Llyods Bank Ltd. *versus* Panna Lal Gupta reported in 1961 I LLJ 18.

"In deciding the status of an employee the designation of the employee is not decisive, what determines the status is a consideration of the nature and duties of the function assigned to the employee concerned."

It is admitted by the Bank that he collected cash at least on the occasions and on the dates mentioned in Ext. X. The evidence of the workman that he takes the clearing cheques to the State Bank of India and also encashes cheques from the non-clearing Banks has not been rebutted in any way by the Bank. The statement of the employee that he goes to collect the insured covers from the Post Office and also goes to purchase stamps from the Post Office has also not been rebutted. It was conceded before me by the Agent of the Bank that the Bank was not employing any head cash Mazdoor. In these circumstances I am firmly of the opinion that the workman concerned is performing all the duties that have usually to be performed by a head cash Mazdoor, and even though the Bank has not designated him as such he is entitled to the allowance which head cash Mazdoor should get for a similar job in a A Class Bank. I, therefore, direct the management to pay an allowance of Rs. 5/- per mensem to the workman concerned. The payment will be made by the Bank with effect from 9th August, 1965 which is the date of the demand notice. The arrears will be paid to the workman concerned within a month from the publication of this award and the payment which has to be made every month shall be made along with the salary for each month. No order as to costs.

The 22nd November, 1965.

(Sd.) K. L. GOSAIN,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Chandigarh.  
[No. 55 (24) /65-LRIV.]

**S.O. 3957**—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Umaria Colliery Post Office Umaria, District Shahdol and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 10th December, 1965.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT  
BOMBAY

REFERENCE No. CGIT 38 of 1963

Employers in relation to the Umaria Colliery, Post Office Umaria, District Shahdol, Madhya Pradesh,

AND

Their Workmen.

PRESENT:

Shri Salim M. Merchant, Presiding Officer.

## APPEARANCES:

For the employers—Shri V. M. Thakraney, Personnel Officer, Rewa Coal-fields Ltd.

For the workmen—Shri Gulab Gupta, Advocate, in his capacity as General Secretary, Madhya Pradesh Colliery Workers' Federation, i.e. Madhya Pradesh Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, and Member, Executive Committee, Indian National Mineworkers' Federation, and Officer, Madhya Pradesh Branch of the Indian National Trade Union Congress.

Dated at Bombay this 9th day of December, 1965

INDUSTRY: Coal-mining.

STATE: Madhya Pradesh.

## AWARD

1. The Central Government, by the Ministry of Labour & Employment's Order No. 5/47/63-LR II, dated 1st October, 1963, made in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act XIV of 1947), was pleased to refer the industrial dispute between the parties above-named in respect of the subject-matters specified in the following schedule to the said Order, to me for adjudication:—

## SCHEDULE

“Whether the management of the Umaria Colliery was justified in dismissing the following workmen from service; and if not, to what relief are these workmen entitled?

1. Shri S. P. Singh, Electrical Fitter.
2. Shri Dhannu, Boiler Cleaning Boy.
3. Shri Jagdish, Hospital Dresser.
4. Shri Tillaiya, Power House Stoker.”

2. After the parties had filed their written statements and the dispute had been part-heard at Jabalpur on 8th November, 1965, when the Union led evidence of its witnesses, the parties by their joint application dated 29th November, 1965 prayed that this Tribunal may be pleased to pass an award in terms of the settlement recorded in the said joint application in respect of the workmen named in the Schedule to the Order of Reference.

3. Since I am satisfied that the terms of settlement are, in the facts and circumstances of the case, fair and reasonable, I make an Award in respect of the four workmen covered in this dispute in terms of the settlement recorded in the said joint application of the parties dated 29th November, 1965, copy of which is annexed hereto and marked Annexure 'A' and which shall form part of this Award.

4. No order as to costs.

(Sd.) SALIM M. MERCHANT,  
Presiding Officer.

## ANNEXURE 'A'

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,  
BOMBAY

## REFERENCE NOS:

- (A) REF 38/63/2234/65 DT. 29/31ST OCT. 1963 CONNECTED WITH GOVT. OF INDIA, MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT'S ORDER NO. 5/47/63-LR II.
- (B) REF 17/64/334/64 DT. 10-2-1964 CONNECTED WITH GOVT. OF INDIA, MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT'S ORDER NO. 5/47/63-LR II.
- (C) REF/60/63/3255/63 DT. 26TH DEC. 1963 CONNECTED WITH GOVT. OF INDIA, MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT'S ORDER NO. 5/47/63-LR II.

## PARTIES:

Employers in relation to Umaria Colliery. District Shahdol, (Madhya Pradesh),

AND

Workmen represented by five representatives namely (1) D. P. Singh, (2) S. P. Singh, (3) Ramkumar, (4) Dhanu and (5) Tillaiya.

The Employers and the Workmen in the above References jointly beg to submit:—

- (1) That the disputes have been amicably settled between the parties on the following terms and conditions:—
  - (a) The following workmen will be reinstated in their services with continuity of old service within a fortnight from the date of signing of this agreement:—
    - (1) S. P. Singh
    - (2) Dhanu
    - (3) Jagdish
    - (4) Tillaiya
    - (5) Ramkumar
    - (6) Jagdev
    - (7) Bisesar
    - (8) Baisakhoo
    - (9) Majnu
  - (b) All these workmen shall be posted at Umaria Colliery.
  - (c) The workmen shall not be paid any back wages for the period they were unemployed, but the first five persons of the above list shall be reinstated with an addition of one increment to their basic wages which they drew at the time of their dismissal from service. The remaining four workmen being the piece-rated shall be paid the same rates of wages as applicable in case of Miners of Umaria Mine.
  - (d) Agreed that the amount of Rs. 300/- (Rupees three hundred only) shall be paid to the dependants of each family of Sarwashree Late Katku and Milan who have since expired, within a fortnight from the date of signing of this agreement.
  - (e) The workmen do not press for any further relief in these cases.
  - (f) That in view of the settlement, the parties do not desire to proceed any further in the matter.

Under the circumstances, it is prayed that your honour may be graciously pleased to pass an award accordingly and for this act of kindness your petitioners as in duty bound shall ever pray.

For Employers:

(Sd.) 1. (J. K. DEWAN) Manager, Umaria Colliery.	(Sd.) 1. (D. P. SINGH)
(Sd.) 2. (V. M. THAKRANEY) Personnel Officer, Rewa Coalfields Ltd.	(Sd.) 2. (S. P. SINGH)
	(L.T.I.)
	3. (RAMKUMAR) (Sd.)
	4. (DHANU) (L.T.I.)
	5. (TILLAIYA)

Witnesses:

(Sd.) (1) (B. P. SHARMA) General Secretary, Colliery Labour Union (INTUC).
(Sd.) (2) (S. D. SINGH) Labour Welfare Officer, Umaria Colliery.

Dated 29th November, 1965.

[No. 5/47/63-LRII-III.]

**S.O. 3958.**—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Umaria Colliery Post Office Umaria, District Shahdol, and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 10th December, 1965.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT  
BOMBAY

REFERENCE NO. CGIT 60 OF 1963

Employers in relation to the Umaria Colliery, Post Office Umaria, District Shahdol, Madhya Pradesh.

AND

Their Workmen.

PRESENT:

Shri Salim M. Merchant, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

For the Employers—Shri V. M. Thakraney, Personnel Officer, Rewa Coal-fields Ltd.

For the Workmen—Shri Gulab Gupta, Advocate, in his capacity as General Secretary, Madhya Pradesh Colliery Workers' Federation, i.e. Madhya Pradesh Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, and Member, Executive Committee, Indian National Mineworkers' Federation, and Officer, Madhya Pradesh Branch of the Indian National Trade Union Congress.

Dated at Bombay this 9th day of December, 1965

INDUSTRY: Coal-mining.

STATE: Madhya Pradesh.

AWARD

(Part III)

1. The Central Government, by the Ministry of Labour and Employment's Order No. 5/47/63-LRII, dated 18th December, 1963, made in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act XIV of 1947), was pleased to refer the industrial dispute between the parties above-named in respect of the subject-matters specified in the following schedule to the said Order, to me for adjudication:—

SCHEDULE

“Whether the management of the Umaria Colliery was justified in dismissing the following workmen from service; and if not, to what relief are these workmen entitled?

1. Shri Ramkuwar, T. No. 381, Boiler Cleaning Boy.
2. Shri Jagdev, T. No. 739, Miner.
3. Shri Katkoo, T. No. 775, Miner.
4. Shri Budhmal Charka, T. No. 604.
5. Shri Bisesar, T. No. 498.
6. Shri Jairam, T. No. 613.
7. Shri Mulloo, T. No. 867.
8. Shri Ramawtar, T. No. 848.”

2. By my Award Part I, dated 3rd June, 1965 I disposed off this dispute in terms of a settlement reached between the parties in respect of Shri Budhmal Charka and Shri Mulloo (Serial Nos. 4 and 7 in the above Schedule). Later, by my Award Part II, dated 19th July, 1965, I disposed off the dispute in respect of Shri Jairam (Serial No. 6 in the Schedule) and Shri Ramawtar (Serial No. 8 in the Schedule) in terms of a settlement reached between the parties.

3. Thereafter, the dispute survived only in respect of Shri Ramkuwar, Shri Jagdev, Shri Katkoo and Shri Bisesar. Their dispute was last heard at Jabalpur on 8th November, 1965, when it was adjourned to 14th December, 1965. In the

meantime, the representatives of the parties have forwarded to me a joint application, dated 29th November, 1965, recording the terms of settlement that have been reached in respect of Shri Ramkuwar, Shri Jagdev and Shri Bisesar (Serial Nos. 1, 2 and 5 in the Schedule). It appears that Shri Katkoo (Serial No. 3) has died, but the terms of settlement record that the employers have agreed to pay Rs. 300/- to the dependents of the family of the said deceased. A copy of the said joint application of the representatives of the parties recording the terms of settlement reached in respect of the remaining 4 workmen named in the Schedule is annexed hereto and marked Annexure 'A'.

4. As I am satisfied that the terms of settlement are, in the facts and circumstances of the case, fair and reasonable, I make this Award Part III in respect of the four workmen concerned who were not covered by my earlier Awards Parts I and II herein, in terms of the settlement recorded in respect of them in Annexure 'A', which shall form part of this Award Part III.

5. This Award Part III disposes off the reference in full.

6. No order as to costs.

(Sd.) SALIM M. MERCHANT,  
Presiding Officer.

#### ANNEXURE A

#### BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL BOMBAY

##### REFERENCE NOS:--

- (A) RFF/38/63/2234/65, DT. 29TH/31ST OCT. 1963 CONNECTED WITH GOVT. OF INDIA, MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT'S ORDER NO. 5/47/63-LR II.
- (B) R19/17/64/334/64 DT. 10-2-1964 CONNECTED WITH GOVT. OF INDIA, MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT'S ORDER NO. 5/47/63-LR II.
- (C) REF/60 63/3255/63 DT. 26TH DEC., 1963 CONNECTED WITH GOVT. OF INDIA, MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT'S ORDERS NO. 5/47/63-LR II.

##### PARTIES:

Employers in relation to Umaria Colliery, District Shahdol, (Madhya Pradesh),

AND

Workmen represented by five representatives namely (1) D. P. Singh, (2) S. P. Singh, (3) Ramkumar, (4) Dhanu and (5) Tillaiya.

The Employers and the Workmen in the above Reference jointly beg to submit:—

- (J) That the disputes have been amicably settled between the parties on the following terms and conditions:—
  - (a) The following workmen will be reinstated in their services with continuity of old service within a fortnight from the date of signing of this agreement:—
    - (1) S. P. Singh
    - (2) Dhanu
    - (3) Jagdish
    - (4) Tillaiya
    - (5) Ramkumar
    - (6) Jagdev
    - (7) Bisesar
    - (8) Baisakhoo
    - (9) Majnu.
  - (b) All these workmen shall be posted at Umaria Collicry.
  - (c) The workmen shall not be paid any back wages for the period they were unemployed, but the first five persons of the above list shall be reinstated with an addition of one increment to their basic wages which they drew at the time of their dismissal from service. The remaining four workmen being the piece-rated shall be paid the same rates of wages as applicable in case of Miners of Umaria Mine.

(d) Agreed that the amount of Rs. 300/- (Rupees three hundred only) shall be paid to the dependants of each family of Sarvashree Late Katku and Milan who have since expired, within a fortnight, from the date of signing of this agreement.

(e) The workmen do not press for any further relief in these cases.

(f) That in view of the settlement, the parties do not desire to proceed any further in the matter.

Under the circumstances, it is prayed that your honour may be graciously pleased to pass an award accordingly and for this act of kindness your petitioners as in duty bound shall ever pray.

*For Employers:*

(Sd.) 1. (J. K. DEWAN) Manager, Umaria Colliery. (Sd.) 2. (V. M. THAKRANEY) Personnel Officer, Rewa Coalfields, Ltd.	(Sd.) 1. (D. P. SINGH)  (Sd.) 2. (S. P. SINGH)  3. (RAMKUMAR) (L.T.I.) (Sd.) 4. (DHANU) 5. (TILLAIYA) (L.T.I.)
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

*Witnesses:*

(sd.)/- 1. (B. P. SHARMA) General Secretary, Colliery Labour Union (INTUC). (sd.)/- 2. (S. D. SINGH) Labour Welfare Officer, Umaria Colliery.
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Dated 29th November, 1965.

[No. 5/47/63-LRII-1.]

**S.O. 3959.**—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Umaria Colliery P.O. Umaria, District Shahdol and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 10th December, 1965.

**BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT BOMBAY**

**REFERENCE NO. CGIT 17 OF 1964.**

Employers in relation to the Umaria Colliery, Post Office Umaria, District Shahdol, Madhya Pradesh,

AND

Their Workmen.

**PRESENT:**

Shri Salim M. Merchant.—*Presiding Officer.*

**APPEARANCES:**

For the employers.—Shri V. M. Thakraney, Personnel Officer, Rewa Coalfields Ltd.

For the workmen.—Shri Gulab Gupta, Advocate, in his capacity as General Secretary, Madhya Pradesh Colliery Workers' Federation, i.e., Madhya Pradesh Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, and Member, Executive Committee, Indian National Mineworkers' Federation, and Officer, Madhya Pradesh Branch of the Indian National Trade Union Congress.

Dated at Bombay this 8th day of December, 1965.

INDUSTRY: Coal-mining

STATE: Madhya Pradesh.

AWARD.

(PART V )

1. The Central Government, by the Ministry of Labour and Employment's Order No. 5/47/63-LRII dated 24th January, 1964, made in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act XIV of 1947), was pleased to refer the industrial dispute between the parties above-named in respect of the subject-matters specified in the following schedule to the said Order, to me for adjudication:—

SCHEDULE

“Whether the management of the Umaria Colliery was justified in dismissing the following workmen from service; and if not, to what relief are these workmen entitled?

1. Shri Ganesh, Ticket No. 811, Miner.
2. Shri Sudhua, Ticket No. 633, Miner.
3. Shri Bisakhoo, Ticket No. 734, Miner.
4. Shri Girdhari S/o. Sirmani, Ticket No. 755.
5. Shri Milan, Ticket No. 717, Miner.
6. Shri Ganpat, 1261.
7. Shri Majnoo, Ticket No. 753, Miner.
8. Shri Bhakua s/o. Charka, Ticket No. 692”.

2. By my earlier Awards Parts I, II, III and IV in this dispute, I have disposed off the cases of Shri Ganesh, Shri Sudhua, Shri Girdhari s/o. Sirmani, Shri Ganpat and Shri Bhakua s/o. Charka (Serial Nos. 1, 2, 4, 6 and 8 in the Schedule). The only dispute, therefore, that survived after my Award Part IV herein dated 14th September, 1965, was in respect of Shri Baisakhoo, Shri Milan and Shri Majnoo (Serial Nos. 3, 5 and 7 in the Schedule), whose case was last fixed for hearing at Jabalpur on 8th November, 1965, when it was adjourned to 14th December, 1965. In the meantime, I received a joint application of the parties dated 29th November, 1965, regarding the terms of settlement that have been reached between the parties' representatives in respect of Shri Baisakhoo, Shri Milan and Shri Majnoo. In respect of Shri Baisakhoo and Shri Majnoo, the Company has agreed to reinstate them in service. It appears that Shri Milan (Serial No. 5) has passed away during the pendency of this dispute, but the management have agreed to pay to his dependents Rs. 300 within a fortnight from the date of the said agreement. A copy of the joint application of the parties is annexed hereto and marked Annexure 'A'.

3. As I am satisfied that the terms of settlement are, in the facts and circumstances of the case, fair and reasonable, I make an Award Part V in respect of the three workmen concerned who were not covered by my earlier Awards Parts I, II, III and IV herein, in terms of the settlement recorded in Annexure 'A', which shall form part of this Award Part V. This Award Part V disposes off the entire reference.

4. No order as to costs.

(Sd.) SALIM M. MERCHANT,  
Presiding Officer.

'ANNEXURE 'A'

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, BOMBAY  
REFERENCE NOS.

- (a) Ref/38/63/2234/65 dated 29th/31st October, 1963 connected with Government of India, Ministry of Labour and Employment's Order No. 5/47/63-LR II.
- (b) Ref/17/64/334/64 dated 10th February, 1964, connected with Government of India, Ministry of Labour and Employment's Order No. 5/47/63-LR II.

(c) Ref/60/63/3255/63 dated 26th December, 1963, connected with Government of India, Ministry of Labour and Employment's Order No. 5/477/63-LR II.

**PARTIES:**

Employers in relation to Umaria Colliery, District Shahdol, (Madhya Pradesh).

AND

Workmen represented by five representatives namely (1) D. P. Singh, (2) S. P. Singh, (3) Ramkumar, (4) Dhanu and (5) Tillaiya.

The Employers and the Workmen in the above References jointly beg to submit:—

(1) That the disputes have been amicably settled between the parties on the following terms and conditions:—

(a) The following workmen will be reinstated in their services with continuity of old service within a fortnight from the date of signing of this agreement:—

- (1) S. P. Singh.
- (2) Dhanu.
- (3) Jagdish.
- (4) Tillaiya.
- (5) Ramkumar.
- (6) Jagdev.
- (7) Bisesar.
- (8) Baisakhoo.
- (9) Majnu.

(b) All these workmen shall be posted at Umaria Colliery.

(c) The workmen shall not be paid any back wages for the period they were unemployed, but the first five persons of the above list shall be reinstated with an addition of one increment to their basic wages which they drew at the time of their dismissal from service. The remaining four workmen being the piece-rated shall be paid the same rates of wages as applicable in case of Miners of Umaria Mine.

(d) Agreed that the amount of Rs. 300 (Rupees three hundred only) shall be paid to the dependants of each family of Sarwashree Late Kalku and Milan who have since expired, within a fortnight, from the date of signing of this agreement.

(e) The workmen do not press for any further relief in these cases.

(f) That in view of the settlement, the parties do not desire to proceed any further in the matter.

Under the circumstances, it is prayed that your honour may be graciously pleased to pass an award accordingly and for this act of kindness your petitioners as in duty bound shall ever pray.

*For Employers:*

1. Sd./- J. K. DEWAN,  
Manager, Umaria Colliery.  
2. Sd./- V. M. THAKRANEY,  
Personnel Officer,  
Rewa Coalfields Ltd.

(1) Sd./- D. P. SINGH.  
(2) Sd./- S. P. SINGH.  
(3) Sd./- RAMKUMAR, (L.T.I.).  
(4) Sd./- DHANU.  
(5) Sd./- TILLAIYA, (L.T.I.).

*Witnesses:*

(1) Sd./- B. P. SHARMA,  
General Secretary,  
Colliery Labour Union (I.N.T.U.C.).  
(2) Sd./- S. D. SINGH,  
Labour Welfare Officer,  
Umaria Colliery.

[No. 5/47/63-LRII-II.]

Dated 29th November, 1965.

## ORDERS

*New Delhi, the 14th December 1965*

**S.O. 3960.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Toposi Colliery, Post Office Toposi, District Burdwan and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

## SCHEDULE

Whether the transfer of Shri Lilu Sou, Underground trammer from underground to surface and the refusal of employment to him by the management of Toposi Colliery with effect from the 19th January, 1965 were acts of victimisation. If so, to what relief is the workman entitled?

[No. 6/79/65-LRII.]

**S.O. 3961.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Digwadih Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited, Post Office Jealgora, District Dhanbad, and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

## SCHEDULE

Whether the management of the Digwadih Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited is justified in not upgrading Servasiri N. G. Acharia and A. K. Banerjee, clerks, Accounts Section Digwadih, from grade II to grade I? If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?

[No. 2/124/65-LRII.]

*New Delhi, the 15th December 1965.*

**S.O. 3962.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Singareni Collieries Company, Limited, Kothagudium Collieries Post Office (Andhra Pradesh) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal, with Shri Mohammad Najmuddin as the Presiding Officer, with Headquarters at Hyderabad, and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Tribunal.

## SCHEDULE

- (1) Whether Sri A. Hanan, Health Inspector, Yellandu Collieries is eligible for the grade of Senior Sanitary Inspector of Rs. 115-200 in view of the strenuous nature of duties performed by him for the last 18 years and the terms of the confirmation order No. 2020 dated 1st June, 1947 issued by the Chief Surgeon and Medical Officer;
- (2) If so, to what relief is he entitled and from what date?

[No. 7/16/65-LRII.]

**S.O. 3963.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Rawanwara Colliery (Messrs Amalgamated Coalfields Limited) under the Managing Agency of Messrs Shaw Wallace and Company Limited, Parasia (Madhya Pradesh) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said disputes for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, constituted under Section 7A of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE

- (1) Whether the management of Rawanwara Colliery was justified in dismissing Sarvashri R. U. Siddiqui, Compensation Clerk and Jhanaklal, Magazine Chowkidar with effect from the 14th September, 1965 and 10th September, 1965, respectively? If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?
- (2) Whether the management of Rawanwara Colliery was justified in issuing an order asking Shri Ramjan Beg to work as a dresser with effect from the 10th October, 1965? If not, to what relief is the workmen entitled?
- (3) Whether the action of the management of Rawanwara Colliery in terminating the services of Shri Harish Chandra, a Road Supervisor, with effect from 11th October, 1965 was an act of victimisation? If so, to what relief is he entitled?

[No. 5/32/65-LE]

**S.O. 3964.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Pure Kustore Colliery Post Office Kusunda District Dhanbad, and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE.

Whether the dismissal of Shri Nanhak Nunia, Crushers Mazdoor Sirdar, by the management of the Pure Kustore Colliery with effect from the 12th July, 1965 was justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?

[No. 2/113/65-LRII.]

*New Delhi, the 16th December 1965*

**S.O. 3965.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Singareni Collieries Company, Limited, Post Office Kothagudium Collieries, Andhra Pradesh and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal, with Sri Mohammad Najmuddin as the Presiding Officer, with Headquarters at Hyderabad, and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Tribunal.

#### SCHEDULE

Whether the demand of the undermentioned 21 fillers of Gang Nos. 11 and 12 of 9 and 10 inclines for grant of wages for the detention period of 7 hours in

addition to the wages in respect of the 13 tubs filled in during the first shift on 4th August, 1965 is justified?

1. Shri Vajrapu Suryanarayana.	12. Shri Reddiboina Nagaiah.
2. " Thotapelly Malliah.	13. " Kathala Odeloo.
3. " Bokka Subramanyam.	14. " Aknuri Ode'oo.
4. " Peer Ahmed.	15. " Kalavala Nambaiah.
5. " Billa Rajam.	16. " Kadi Venkaty.
6. " Roolla Baniah.	17. " G. China Satyam.
7. " Erra Narasaiah.	18. " Ellabelli Appa Rao.
8. " Gidda Rajam.	19. " K. Mysair.
9. " Nalli Krishna Murthy.	20. " Kamadri Odeloo.
10. " Md. Amanullah.	21. " Katukuri Rajam.
11. " S. Satyanandam.	

2. If so, to what relief are the fillers entitled?

[No. 7/29/65-LR11.]

**S.O. 3966.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Khas Joyrampur Colliery of Messrs Khas Joyrampur Colliery Company Private Limited, Post Office Jharia, District Dhanbad and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under Section 7A of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE

(i) Whether the management of the Khas Joyrampur Colliery of Messrs Khas Joyrampur Colliery Company Private Limited, Post Office Jharia, District Dhanbad, was justified in discharging Shri Masuriadin Pasi, Miner, from service with effect from the 15th March, 1965?

(ii) If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?

[No. 2/110/65-LR11.]

**S.O. 3967.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Kirkend Colliery of Messrs Motiram Roshanlal Coal Company (Private) Limited, Post Office Kusunda, District Dhanbad, and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed.

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under Section 7A of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE

Whether the management of the Kirkend Colliery of Messrs Motiram Roshanlal Coal Company (Private) Limited was justified in stopping the workmen, whose names are given in the Annexure, from work with effect from the 13th August, 1965, without any compensation? If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?

#### ANNEXURE

Serial No.	Name of the workman	Designation
1	Sukdeo Kori	U.G. Trammer
2	Anik Dusad	Do.
3	Rumsarup Dusad	Do.
4	Bhairo Pashi	Do.
5	Butai Ram	Do.

Serial No.	Name of the Workman	Designation
6	Ganouri Bhuria	U. G. Trammer
7	Ramnath Gareria	Do.
8	Ramkol Dusad	Do.
9	Sukdeo Mahate	Do.
10	Bhuneshar Bhuria	Pick Miner.
11	Modi Bhuria	Do.
12	Jagan Manjhi	Do.
13	Kisto Manjhi	Do.
14	Rameshar Manjhi	Do.
15	Lagan Manjhi	Do.
16	Ganre Majhi	Do.
17	Rajendra Manjhi	Do.
18	Banshi Ray	Do.
19	Jago Turi	Do.
20	Kodu Turi	Do.
21	Budhu Manjhi	Do.
22	Mondal Majhi	Do.
23	Rashik Manjhi	Do.
24	Sagar Majhi	Do.
25	Barko Manjhi	Do.
26	Jiwan Majhi	Do.
27	Gurudin Kun bi	S. Trammer.
28	Babulal Pashi	Do.
29	Jagdeo Sao	Do.
30	Bhagouti Barai	Do.
31	Kallu Kunbi	Do.
32	Ramcharitar Singh	Do.
33	Tirbeni Singh	Do.
34	Ramser Dhobi	Do.
35	Jokhu Pandey	Do.
36	Surji Kamin	Wagon Loader.
37	Sitali Kamin	Do.
38	Mangli Kamin	Do.
39	Saroni Kamin	Do.
40	Churka Manjhi	Do.
41	Mangla Manjhi	Do.
42	Halodi Kamin	Do.
43	Nanhuaku Pashi	H. Khalasi & W.E. Khalasi.
44	Mangar Hazam	Do.
45	Dukhu Mahate	Do.
46	Jagdeo Mahate	Do.
47	Narayan Mistry	Prop. Mistry.
48	Jageshar Singh	Do.
49	Moti Kumar	Line Mistry.
50	Baijnath Singh	Fireman.
51	Abdul Mian	Do.
52	Kirju Pashi	Gen. Mazdoor.
53	Moti Lohar	Mine Mazdoor.
54	Aklu Dusad	Banksman.
55	Dhanik Pashi	Do.
56	Balli Gope	Prop. Mazdoor.
57	Basarat Mian	Mcchanical Fitter.
58	Kishun Dhobi	Onsetter.
59	Pravakar Chaterjee	Gen Mazdoor.
60	Shalall Hari	Sweeper.
61	Basant Singh	Mining Sardar.
62	Logindo Bouri	Do.
63	Basdeo Sonar	Office Peon.

[No. 2/131/65-LRII].

New Delhi, the 17th December 1965

**S.O. 3968.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial Dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Hercules Insurance Company Ltd. and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed,

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now therefore in exercise of the powers conferred to section 7A and clause (d) of Sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), and in supersession of the Order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment No. 3304, dated the 14th October, 1965, the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal of which Shri O. V. Balaswami shall be the presiding officer, with headquarters at Madras and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Tribunal.

#### SCHEDULE

1. Whether Shri T. M. Guhanadam, a clerk in the Esplanade Branch office of the Hercules Insurance Company Ltd., Madras is entitled to an allowance in view of his added responsibility for handling cash, cheques, stamps etc.?
2. If so, what should be the quantum of allowance and from which date?

[No. 70(13)/65-LRIV.]

#### CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 15th December 1965

**S.O. 3969.**—In the order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment No. S.O. 3686, dated the 20th November, 1965, published on page 3865 for the Gazette of India, Part II, section 3, sub-section (ii), dated the 27th November, 1965, for "Pamba, dist. Kottayam", read "Pattanamthitta, District Quilon.", wherever they occur.

[No. 36/33/65-LRI.]

S. A. SESHAN, Under Secy.

#### [Office of the Chief Labour Commissioner(C)]

#### ORDERS

New Delhi, the 15th December 1965

**S.O. 3970.**—Whereas an application has been made by the establishment carrying on operation concerning any mine other than coal mentioned in the Schedule below for extension of the period specified in clause (b) of section 19 of the Payment of Bonus Act, 1965 (No. 21 of 1965) for the payment of bonus to its employees for the accounting year ended on the 31st March, 1965;

And whereas Chief Labour Commissioner is satisfied that there are sufficient reasons so to do;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to clause (b) of section 19 of the said Act, read with the notification of the Govt. of India in the Ministry of Labour & Employment No. WB-20(42)/65, dated the 28th August, 1965, I, Teja Singh Sahni, Chief Labour Commissioner hereby extend the period within which the said bonus shall be paid by the establishment to 10 (ten) months from the close of the accounting year ended on the 31st March, 1965.

#### THE SCHEDULE

1. Manganese Ore (India) Ltd., 3, Mount Road Extension. P.O. Box No. 34, Nagpur (Maharashtra). (in respect of 15 Manganese Mines Kandri, Munsar, Gumgaon, Ram-dongri, Chikla, Chikla Extension, Sitasoangi, Sitapatore, South Tirodi, North Tirodi, Jamrapani, Ramrama, Balaghat, Uka and Beldongri.)

[No. BO-25(3)/2/65.]

**S.O. 3971.**—Whereas an application has been made by the establishment carrying on operation concerning any mine other than coal mentioned in the Schedule below for extension of the period specified in clause (b) of section 19 of the Payment of Bonus Act, 1965 (21 of 1965), for the payment of bonus to the employees of the said establishment, for the accounting year ended on the 31st December, 1964;

And whereas Chief Labour Commissioner is satisfied that there are sufficient reasons so to do;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to clause (b) of section 19 of the said Act, read with the notification of the Govt. of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment No. WB-20(42)/65, dated the 28th August, 1965 I, Teja Singh Sahni, Chief Labour Commissioner hereby extend the period within which the said bonus shall be paid by the establishment to 13 (thirteen) months from the close of the accounting year ended on the 31st December, 1964.

#### THE SCHEDULE

1. Central Provinces Manganese Ore Company Limited, P.O. Box No. 8, Nagpur (Maharashtra). (in respect of Dongri Buzurg Manganese Mine).

[No. BO-25(3)2/65.]

TEJA SINGH SAHNI,  
Chief Labour Commissioner (C).

#### MINISTRY OF STEEL AND MINES

(Department of Mines and Metals)  
(Metals Section)

New Delhi, the 18th December 1965

**S.O. 3972.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 125 of the Defence of India Rules, 1962, the Central Government hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Scarce Industrial Materials (Control) Order, 1965, namely:—

**I. Short title and commencement.**—(1) This Order may be called the Scarce Industrial Materials (Control) (Fourth Amendment) Order, 1965.

(2) It shall come into force at once.

**II. Amendment to the Scarce Industrial Materials (Control) Order, 1965.**—In the Scarce Industrial Materials (Control) (Order) 1965, (1) in clause 7, to sub-clause (1), the following provisos shall be added, namely:—

(i) Provided that a person other than a producer may sell any scarce industrial material acquired by him not by import but by purchase on or before the 14th September, 1965, at a price equal to the average market price thereof during the month of August, 1965, or at the cost of acquisition plus an addition of 3½ per cent, whichever is the lesser.

(ii) Provided further that a person other than a producer shall not sell any scarce industrial material which was in existence at the date of the commencement of the Scarce Industrial Materials (Control) Order, 1965, but was released on or after the 1st January, 1966, at a price exceeding the landed cost thereof plus an addition of 5 per cent such landed cost.

(2) To the Schedule to the Scarce Industrial Materials (Control) Order, 1965 the following proviso shall be added, namely:—

“Provided that nothing in this Schedule shall apply to any scarce industrial material recovered from scraps, dross, slimes, ashes etc.

[No. F. 2(18)Met/65.]

## ORDER

*New Delhi, the 18th December 1965*

**S.O. 3973.**—In pursuance of Clause (a) of paragraph 2 of the Scarce Industrial Materials (Control) Order, 1965, the Central Government hereby appoints the following officers to perform, within the limits of their respective jurisdictions, the functions of the Controller under the said Order, namely:—

- (a) in each State, other than Nagaland—
  - (i) the Industries Commissioner,
  - (ii) the Director, Joint Director, Deputy Director or Assistant Director, of Industries;
- (b) in the State of Nagaland, the Special Officer, Industries.

[No. F. 2(18)Met/65.]

C. S. VENUGOPALA RAO, Dy. Secy.

**DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SECURITY***New Delhi, the 20th December 1965*

**S.O. 3974.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby appoints the 26th December, 1965 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter IV (except sections 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force), and Chapters V and VI [except sub-section (1) of section 76 and sections 77, 78, 79 and 81 which have already been brought into force] of the said Act shall come into force in the municipal limits of Bhagalpur, in the State of Bihar.

[No. F. 13(40)/65-HI.]

DALJIT SINGH, Under Secy.

